UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/733,316   | 12/12/2003  | Daisuke Katsuta      | 501.43326X00        | 9072             |  |
| 20457 7590 02/09/2009<br>ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP<br>1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET<br>SUITE 1800<br>ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | NELSON, FREDA ANN   |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 3628                |                  |  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |             | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | 02/09/2009          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/733,316      | KATSUTA ET AL. |  |
|                 |                |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |

|  | FREDA NELSON  | 3628   |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | R ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v   | , or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or                | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.   |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the co | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection                                | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origin                                    | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |   |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between the content of the cont    | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);   | E below);  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a control of the control of  | corresponding number of finally reje  | cted claims.   |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | 01. Con attached Nation of Nan Con  | nan liant Anaan dhaant (                                   | DTOL 224)                                |
| <ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>  |   | npliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).                               |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |   | imely filed amendmer                                       | nt canceling the                         |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:   |   | be entered and an ex                                       | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4, 8-10, and 12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | l and/or appellant fail:                                   | s to provide a                           |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after en  | itry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.  | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |  |
| /John W Hayes/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628   |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has added the additional limitation "said embedded electronic watermark including longitude and latitude information received from a GPS, standard time information, air temperature, humidity, illumination, intensity of ultraviolet radiation, altitude, air pressure, wind velocity, de.qree of cleanliness, and sound" which would require a new search.